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C/C++ programs: some vulnerabilities exist which could allow code injection attacks

Code injection attacks allow an attacker to execute foreign code with the privileges of the vulnerable program

Major problem for programs written in C/C++

Focus will be on:
- Illustration of code injection attacks
- Countermeasures for these attacks
Lecture overview

- Memory management in C/C++
- Vulnerabilities
- Countermeasures
- Conclusion
Memory management in C/C++

- Memory is allocated in multiple ways in C/C++:
  - Automatic (local variables in a function)
  - Static (global variables)
  - Dynamic (malloc or new)

- Programmer is responsible for
  - Correct allocation and deallocation in the case of dynamic memory
  - Appropriate use of the allocated memory
    - Bounds checks, type checks
Memory management in C/C++

- Memory management is very error prone
- Typical bugs:
  - Writing past the bounds of the allocated memory
  - Dangling pointers: pointers to deallocated memory
  - Double frees: deallocating memory twice
  - Memory leaks: never deallocating memory
- For efficiency reasons, C/C++ compilers don’t detect these bugs at run-time:
  - C standard states behavior of such programs is undefined
Process memory layout

- Arguments/Environment
  - Stack
  - Unused and Shared Memory
  - Heap
  - Static & Global Data
  - Program code
Lecture overview

- Memory management in C/C++
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  - Code injection attacks
  - Buffer overflows
  - Format string vulnerabilities
  - Integer errors
- Countermeasures
- Conclusion
To exploit a vulnerability and execute a code injection attack, an attacker must:

- Find a bug that can allow an attacker to overwrite interesting memory locations
- Find such an interesting memory location
- Copy target code in binary form into the memory of a program
  - Can be done easily, by giving it as input to the program
- Use the vulnerability to modify the location so that the program will execute the injected code
Interesting memory locations for attackers

- Stored code addresses: modified -> code can be executed when the program loads them into the IP
- Return address: address where the execution must resume when a function ends
- Global Offset Table: addresses here are used to execute dynamically loaded functions
- Virtual function table: addresses are used to know which method to execute (dynamic binding in C++)
- Dtors functions: called when programs exit
Interesting memory locations

- Function pointers: modified -> when called, the injected code is executed
- Data pointers: modified -> indirect pointer overwrites
  - First the pointer is made to point to an interesting location, when it is dereferenced for writing the location is overwritten
- Attackers can overwrite many locations to perform an attack
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Buffer overflows: impact

- Code red worm: estimated loss world-wide: $2.62 billion
- Sasser worm: shut down X-ray machines at a Swedish hospital and caused Delta airlines to cancel several transatlantic flights
- Zotob worm: crashed the DHS’ US-VISIT program computers, causing long lines at major international airports
- All three worms used stack-based buffer overflows
Buffer overflows: numbers

- NIST national vulnerability database (Jan-Oct 2008):
  - 486 buffer overflow vulnerabilities (10% of total vulnerabilities reported)
  - 347 of these have a high severity rating
  - These buffer overflow vulnerabilities make up 15% of the vulnerabilities with high severity
Buffer overflows: what?

- Write beyond the bounds of an array
- Overwrite information stored behind the array
- Arrays can be accessed through an index or through a pointer to the array
- Both can cause an overflow
- Java: not vulnerable because it has no pointer arithmetic and does bounds checking on array indexing
How do buffer overflows occur?

- By using an unsafe copying function (e.g. `strcpy`)
- By looping over an array using an index which may be too high
- Through integer errors

How can they be prevented?

- Using copy functions which allow the programmer to specify the maximum size to copy (e.g. `strncpy`)
- Checking index values
- Better checks on integers
Buffer overflows: example

```c
void function(char *input) {
    char str[80];
    strcpy(str, input);
}

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    function(argv[1]);
}
```
Shellcode

- Small program in machine code representation
- Injected into the address space of the process

```c
int main() {
    printf("You win\n");
    exit(0)
}
```

```c
static char shellcode[] =
    "\x6a\x09\x83\x04\x24\x01\x68\x77"
    "\x69\x6e\x21\x68\x79\x6f\x75\x20"
    "\x31\xdb\xb3\x01\x89\xe1\x31\xd2"
    "\xb2\x09\x31\xc0\xb0\x04\xcd\x80"
    "\x32\xdb\xb0\x01\xcd\x80";
```
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Stack-based buffer overflows

- Stack is used at run time to manage the use of functions:
  - For every function call, a new record is created
    - Contains return address: where execution should resume when the function is done
    - Arguments passed to the function
    - Local variables
  - If an attacker can overflow a local variable he can find interesting locations nearby
Stack-based buffer overflows

- Old unix login vulnerability
  - int login() {
    - char user[8], hash[8], pw[8];
    - printf("login:"); gets(user);
    - lookup(user,hash);
    - printf("password:"); gets(pw);
    - if (equal(hash, hashpw(pw)))
      - return OK;
    - else
      - return INVALID;
Stack-based buffer overflows

```c
char user[8], hash[8], pw[8];
printf("username: ");
gets(user);
lookup(user, hash);
printf("password: ");
gets(pw);
if (equal(hash, hashpw(pw)))
    return OK;
else
    return INVALID;
```
Stack-based buffer overflows

```c
login:
  char user[8], hash[8], pw[8];
  printf("username:");
  gets(user);
  lookup(user, hash);
  printf("password:");
  gets(pw);
  if (equal(hash, hashpw(pw)))
    return OK;
  else
    return INVALID;
```
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Other stack frames
- Return address login
- Saved frame pointer login
  - user
  - hash
  - pw
Stack-based buffer overflows

```c
login:  
  char user[8], hash[8], pw[8];  
  printf("username:");  
  gets(user);  
  lookup(user,hash);  
  printf("password:");  
  gets(pw);  
  if (equal(hash,hashpw(pw)))  
    return OK;  
  else  
    return INVALID;
```
Stack-based buffer overflows

```c
login:  
  char user[8], hash[8], pw[8];  
  printf("username:");  
  gets(user);  
  lookup(user,hash);  
  printf("password:");  
  gets(pw);  
  if (equal(hash,hashpw(pw)))  
    return OK;  
  else  
    return INVALID;
```
Stack-based buffer overflows

```c
login:
  char user[8], hash[8], pw[8];
  printf(“username:”);
  gets(user);
  lookup(user,hash);
  printf(“password:”);
  gets(pw);
  if (equal(hash,hashpw(pw)))
    return OK;
  else
    return INVALID;
```

Other stack frames
- Return address login
- Saved frame pointer login
- user
- hash
- pw
Stack-based buffer overflows

- Attacker can specify a password longer than 8 characters
- Will overwrite the hashed password
- Attacker enters:
  - AAAAAAAAAABBBBBBBB
  - Where BBBBBBBBB = hashpw(AAAAAAAAAA)
- Login to any user account without knowing the password
- Called a non-control data attack
Stack-based buffer overflows

```
login:
  char user[8], hash[8], pw[8];
  printf("username:");
  gets(user);
  lookup(user, hash);
  printf("password:");
  gets(pw);
  if (equal(hash, hashpw(pw)))
    return OK;
  else
    return INVALID;
```
Stack-based buffer overflows

Stack

Other stack frames
- Return address f0
- Saved frame pointer f0
- Local variables f0

IP
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f0:
  
  ... call f1 
  ...

f1:

  buffer[]
  overflow()
  ...
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Stack-based buffer overflows

Stack

Other stack frames
- Return address f0
- Saved frame pointer f0
- Local variables f0
- Arguments f1

f0:
...  
call f1  
...

f1:
buffer[]
overflow()
...
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Stack-based buffer overflows

Stack

f0:
  ...
  call f1
  ...

f1:
  buffer[]
  overflow()
  ...

Other stack frames
Return address f0
Saved frame pointer f0
Local variables f0
Arguments f1
Return address f1
Saved frame pointer f1
Buffer
Stack-based buffer overflows

Stack

Other stack frames
Return address f0
Saved frame pointer f0
Local variables f0
Arguments f1
Overwritten return address
Injected code

f0:
  ...
call f1
  ...

f1:
  buffer[]
  overflow()
  ...
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Stack-based buffer overflows

f0:
...  
call f1
...

f1:
buffer[]
overflow()
...

Stack

Other stack frames
Return address f0
Saved frame pointer f0
Local variables f0

Injected code

SP

IP
Stack-based buffer overflows

Exercises

- From Gera’s insecure programming page

- For the following programs:
  - Assume Linux on Intel 32-bit
  - Draw the stack layout right after `gets()` has executed
  - Give the input which will make the program print out “you win!”
Stack-based buffer overflows

```c
int main() {
    int cookie;
    char buf[80];
    printf("b: %x c: %x\n", &buf, &cookie);
    gets(buf);
    if (cookie == 0x41424344)
        printf("you win!\n");
}
```
Stack-based buffer overflows

main:
  cookie
tbuf[80]
printf()
gets()
...
Stack-based buffer overflows

```bash
perl -e 'print "A"x80; print "DCBA"' | ./s1
```
Stack-based buffer overflows

- int main() {
-   int cookie;
-   char buf[80];
-   printf("b: %x c: %x\n", &buf, &cookie);
-   gets(buf);
- }

- buf is at location 0xbffffce4 in memory
Stack-based buffer overflows

main:
  cookie
  buf[80]
  printf()
  gets()
  ...

Stack

- Return address
- Frame pointer
- cookie
- buf

Frame pointer

IP

SP
Stack-based buffer overflows

```c
#define RET 0xbfffffce4

int main() {
    char buf[93];
    int ret;
    memset(buf, '\x90', 92);
    memcpy(buf, shellcode, strlen(shellcode));
    *(long *)&buf[88] = RET;
    buf[92] = 0;
    printf(buf);
}
```
Stack-based buffer overflows

main:
cookie
buf[80]
printf()
gets()
...

Stack
0xbffffce4
0x90909090
0x90909090
Injected code

FP
IP

0xbffffce4
Finding inserted code

- Generally (on kernels < 2.6) the stack will start at a static address
- Finding shell code means running the program with a fixed set of arguments/fixed environment
- This will result in the same address
- Not very precise, small change can result in different location of code
- Not mandatory to put shellcode in buffer used to overflow
- Pass as environment variable
Passing shellcode as environment variable:

Stack start - 4 null bytes
- strlen(program name) -
- null byte (program name)
- strlen(shellcode)

0xBFFFFFFF - 4
- strlen(program name) -
- 1
- strlen(shellcode)
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Indirect Pointer Overwriting

- Overwrite a target memory location by overwriting a data pointer
  - An attacker makes the data pointer point to the target location
  - When the pointer is dereferenced for writing, the target location is overwritten
  - If the attacker can specify the value of to write, he can overwrite arbitrary memory locations with arbitrary values
Indirect Pointer Overwriting

f0:
... 
call f1 
...

f1:
ptr = &data;
buffer[]
overflow();
*ptr = value;
...

data

Stack

Other stack frames
Return address f0
Saved frame pointer f0
Local variables f0

IP

FP

SP
Indirect Pointer Overwriting

```c
f0:
    ...
    call f1
    ...

f1:
    ptr = &data;
    buffer[]
    overflow();
    *ptr = value;
    ...
```

Stack

- Other stack frames
- Return address f0
- Saved frame pointer f0
- Local variables f0
- Arguments f1
- Return address f1
- Saved frame pointer f1
- Pointer
- Buffer
Indirect Pointer Overwriting

Stack

Other stack frames
Return address f0
Saved frame pointer f0
Local variables f0
Arguments f1
Return address f1
Saved frame pointer f1
Overwritten pointer
Injected code

f0:
... call f1 ...

f1:
ptr = &data;
buffer[]
overflow();
*ptr = value;
...

SP
FP
IP
Indirect Pointer Overwriting

Stack
- Other stack frames
- Return address f0
- Saved frame pointer f0
- Local variables f0
- Arguments f1
  - Modified return address
  - Saved frame pointer f1
  - Overwritten pointer
  - Injected code

```
f0:
  ...
  call f1
  ...

f1:
  ptr = &data;
  buffer[]
  overflow();
  *ptr = value;
  ...
```

IP

FP

SP

data
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Indirect Pointer Overwriting

Stack
- Other stack frames
- Return address f0
- Saved frame pointer f0
- Local variables f0

Injected code

f0:
...  
call f1  
...

f1:
ptr = &data;  
buffer[]  
overflow();  
*ptr = value;  
...

data
Indirect Pointer Overwriting

- static unsigned int a = 0;
- int main(int argc, char **argv) {
  int *b = &a;
  char buf[80];
  printf("buf: %08x\n", &buf);
  gets(buf);
  *b = strtoul(argv[1], 0, 16);
}

buf is at 0xbfffff9c4
Indirect Pointer Overwriting

```
main:
  b = &a;
  buf[80]
  gets();
  *b = argv[1];
  ...
```

Stack

```
FP
Return address
Saved frame pointer
b
buf
```

IP

```
a
```
#define RET 0xbffff9e4+88

int main() {
    char buf[84];
    int ret;
    memset(buf, '\x90', 84);
    memcpy(buf, shellcode, strlen(shellcode));
    *(long *)&buffer[80] = RET;
    printf(buffer);
}
Indirect Pointer Overwriting

main:
    b = &a;
    buf[80]
    gets();
    *b = argv[1];
    ...

Stack

Return address
Saved frame pointer
b
buf
Indirect Pointer Overwriting

main:
  b = &a;
  buf[80]
  gets();
  *b = argv[1];
  ...

Stack:
- Return address
- Saved frame pointer
- b
- buf

IP
- FP
- SP
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Heap-based buffer overflows

- Heap contains dynamically allocated memory
  - Managed via malloc() and free() functions of the memory allocation library
  - A part of heap memory that has been processed by malloc is called a chunk
  - No return addresses: attackers must overwrite data pointers or function pointers
  - Most memory allocators save their memory management information in-band
  - Overflows can overwrite management information
Heap management in dlmalloc

- Used chunk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chunk1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size of prev. chunk</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size of chunk1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>User data</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Free chunk: doubly linked list of free chunks

```
Chunk1
  +----------------+------------------+
  | Size of prev. chunk |
  +----------------+------------------+
  | Size of chunk1  |
  +----------------+------------------+
  | Forward pointer |
  +----------------+------------------+
  | Backward pointer|
  +----------------+------------------+
  | Old user data   |
  +----------------+------------------+
```
Removing a chunk from the doubly linked list of free chunks:

```c
#define unlink(P, BK, FD) {
    BK = P->bk;
    FD = P->fd;
    FD->bk = BK;
    BK->fd = FD;
}
```

This is:

```c
P->fd->bk = P->bk
P->bk->fd = P->fd
```
Heap management in dmalloc

- **Chunk1**
  - Size of prev. chunk
  - Size of chunk1
  - Forward pointer
  - Backward pointer
  - Old user data

- **Chunk2**
  - Size of prev. chunk
  - Size of chunk2
  - Forward pointer
  - Backward pointer
  - Old user data

- **Chunk3**
  - Size of prev. chunk
  - Size of chunk3
  - Forward pointer
  - Backward pointer
  - Old user data
Heap management in dlmalloc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chunk1</th>
<th>Chunk2</th>
<th>Chunk3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of prev. chunk</td>
<td>Size of prev. chunk</td>
<td>Size of prev. chunk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of chunk1</td>
<td>Size of chunk2</td>
<td>Size of chunk3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward pointer</td>
<td>Forward pointer</td>
<td>Forward pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backward pointer</td>
<td>Backward pointer</td>
<td>Backward pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old user data</td>
<td>Old user data</td>
<td>Old user data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heap management in dlmalloc

Chunk1
- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk1
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Old user data

Chunk2
- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk2
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Old user data

Chunk3
- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk3
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Old user data
Heap management in dlmalloc

Chunk1
- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk1
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Old user data

Chunk2
- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk2
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Old user data

Chunk3
- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk3
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Old user data
# Heap-based Buffer Overflows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chunk1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size of prev. chunk</td>
<td>Size of chunk1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>User data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chunk2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size of chunk1</td>
<td>Size of chunk2</td>
<td>Forward pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Backward pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Old user data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heap-based buffer overflows

Chunk1

- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk1
- Injected code
- Size of chunk1
- Size of chunk2
- fwd: pointer to target
- bck: pointer to inj. code
- Old user data

Return address

call f1

...
Heap-based buffer overflows

After unlink

- Overwritten return address
- Old user data
- Injection code
- Size of chunk 1
- Size of chunk 2
- fwd: pointer to target
- bck: pointer to injection code
- Call f1

...
Dangling pointer references

- Pointers to memory that is no longer allocated
- Dereferencing is unchecked in C
- Generally leads to crashes
- Can be used for code injection attacks when memory is deallocated twice (double free)
- Double frees can be used to change the memory management information of a chunk
Double free

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chunk2</th>
<th></th>
<th>Chunk3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of prev. chunk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Size of prev. chunk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of chunk2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Size of chunk3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward pointer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Forward pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backward pointer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Backward pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old user data</td>
<td></td>
<td>Old user data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Double free

Chunk2
- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk2
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Old user data

Chunk3
- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk3
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Old user data
Double free

- **Chunk2**
  - Size of prev. chunk
  - Size of chunk2
  - Forward pointer
  - Backward pointer
  - Old user data

- **Chunk2**
  - Size of prev. chunk
  - Size of chunk2
  - Forward pointer
  - Backward pointer
  - Old user data

- **Chunk3**
  - Size of prev. chunk
  - Size of chunk3
  - Forward pointer
  - Backward pointer
  - Old user data
Double free

Chunk2
- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk2
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Old user data

Chunk3
- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk3
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Old user data
Double free

- Unlink: chunk stays linked because it points to itself

```
Chunk2

  Size of prev. chunk
  Size of chunk2
  Forward pointer
  Backward pointer
  Old user data
```
If unlinked to reallocate: attackers can now write to the user data part

![Diagram of Double Free]

- Chunk2
  - Size of prev. chunk
  - Size of chunk2
  - Forward pointer
  - Backward pointer
  - Old user data
It is still linked in the list too, so it can be unlinked again.
Double free

➢ After second unlink

Chunk2

- Size of prev. chunk
- Size of chunk2
- Forward pointer
- Backward pointer
- Injected code

Overwritten return address

call f1
...
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Overflows in the data/bss segments

- Data segment contains global or static compile-time initialized data
- Bss contains global or static uninitialized data
- Overflows in these segments can overwrite:
  - Function and data pointers stored in the same segment
  - Data in other segments
Overflows in the data/bss segments

- ctors: pointers to functions to execute at program start
- dtors: pointers to functions to execute at program finish
- GOT: global offset table: used for dynamic linking: pointers to absolute addresses
Overflow in the data segment

- char buf[256]=\{1\};
- int main(int argc,char **argv) {
  strcpy(buf,argv[1]);
}
Overflow in the data segment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>buf[256]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dtors</td>
<td>0x00000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overflow in the data section

```c
int main (int argc, char **argv) {
    char buffer[476];
    char *env[2] = { shellcode, NULL };
    int ret;
    ret = 0xBFFFFFFF - 4 - strlen (execargv[0]) - 1 - strlen (shellcode);
    memset(buffer, '\x90', 476);
    *(long *)&buffer[472] = ret;
    execve(execargv[0],execargv,env);
}
```
Overflow in the data segment

- Data
- Ctors
- Dtors
- GOT
- BSS

buf[256]
RET
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Format string vulnerabilities

- Format strings are used to specify formatting of output:
  - `printf("%d is %s\n", integer, string);` -> "5 is five"
- Variable number of arguments
- Expects arguments on the stack
- Problem when attack controls the format string:
  - `printf(input);`
  - should be `printf("%s", input);`
Format string vulnerabilities

- Can be used to read arbitrary values from the stack
  - “%s %x %x”
  - Will read 1 string and 2 integers from the stack
Format string vulnerabilities

- Can be used to read arbitrary values from the stack
  - “%s %x %x”
  - Will read 1 string and 2 integers from the stack
Format string vulnerabilities

- Format strings can also write data:
  - `%n` will write the amount of (normally) printed characters to a pointer to an integer
  - “%200x%n” will write 200 to an integer

- Using `%n`, an attacker can overwrite arbitrary memory locations:
  - The pointer to the target location can be placed somewhere on the stack
  - Pop locations with “%x” until the location is reached
  - Write to the location with “%n”
Lecture overview
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Integer overflows

- Integer wraps around 0
- Can cause buffer overflows
  ```c
  int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    unsigned int a;
    char *buf;
    a = atol(argv[1]);
    buf = (char*) malloc(a+1);
  }
  ```
- `malloc(0)` -> will malloc only 8 bytes
Lecture overview
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Integer signedness errors

- Value interpreted as both signed and unsigned:
  ```c
  int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    int a;
    char buf[100];
    a = atol(argv[1]);
    if (a < 100)
      strncpy(buf, argv[2], a); }
  ```

- For a negative a:
  - In the condition, a is smaller than 100
  - Strncpy expects an unsigned integer: a is now a large positive number
Lecture overview

- Memory management in C/C++
- Vulnerabilities
- Countermeasures
  - Safe languages
  - Probabilistic countermeasures
  - Separation and replication countermeasures
  - Paging-based countermeasures
  - Hardened Libraries
- Conclusion
SAFE LANGUAGES

- Change the language so that correctness can be ensured
  - Static analysis to prove safety
  - If it can’t be proven safe statically, add runtime checks to ensure safety (e.g. array unsafe statically -> add bounds checking)
  - Type safety: casts of pointers are limited
  - Less programmer pointer control
  - Runtime type-information
Safe languages

- Memory management: no explicit management
  - Garbage collection: automatic scheduled deallocation
  - Region-based memory management: deallocate regions as a whole, pointers can only be dereferenced if region is live

- Focus on languages that stay close to C
Safe languages

- **Cyclone: Jim et al.**
  - **Pointers:**
    - NULL check before dereference of pointers (*ptr)
    - New type of pointer: never-NULL (@ptr)
    - No arithmetic on normal (*) & never-NULL (@) pointers
    - Arithmetic allowed on special pointer type (?ptr): contains extra bounds information for bounds check
    - Uninitialized pointers can’t be used
  - Region-based memory management
  - Tagged unions: functions can determine type of arguments; prevents format string vulnerabilities
Safe languages

- CCured: Necula et al.
  - Stays as close to C as possible
  - Programmer has less control over pointers: static analysis determines pointer type
    - Safe: no casts or arithmetic; only needs NULL check
    - Sequenced: only arithmetic; NULL and bounds check
    - Dynamic: type can’t be determined statically; NULL, bounds and run-time type check
  - Garbage collection: free() is ignored
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Probabilistic countermeasures

- Based on randomness
- Canary-based approach
  - Place random number in memory
  - Check random number before performing action
  - If random number changed an overflow has occurred
- Obfuscation of memory addresses
- Address Space Layout Randomization
- Instruction Set Randomization
Canary-based countermeasures

- StackGuard (SG): Cowan et al.
  - Places random number before the return address when entering function
  - Verifies that the random number is unchanged when returning from the function
  - If changed, an overflow has occurred, terminate program
StackGuard (SG)

```
f0:
  ...
  call f1
  ...

f1:
  ptr = &data;
  buffer[]
  overflow();
  *ptr = value;
  ...
```

- Stack
  - Other stack frames
  - Return address f0
  - Saved frame pointer f0
  - Canary
  - Local variables f0
  - Arguments f1
  - Return address f1
  - Saved frame pointer f1
  - Canary
  - Pointer
  - Buffer
StackGuard (SG)

f0:
  ...
call f1
  ...

f1:
  ptr = &data;
  buffer[]
  overflow();
  *ptr = value;
  ...

Stack

Other stack frames
Return address f0
Saved frame pointer f0
Canary

Local variables f0
Arguments f1
Return address f1
Saved frame pointer f1
Canary
Pointer
Injected code
Canary-based countermeasures

- Propolice (PP): Etoh & Yoda
  - Same principle as StackGuard
  - Protects against indirect pointer overwriting by reorganizing the stack frame:
    - All arrays are stored before all other data on the stack (i.e. right next to the random value)
    - Overflows will cause arrays to overwrite other arrays or the random value

- Part of GCC >= 4.1
- ‘Stack Cookies in Visual Studio
Propolice (PP)

```
f0:  
    ... 
    call f1 
    ... 

f1:  
    ptr = &data; 
    buffer[] 
    overflow(); 
    *ptr = value; 
    ... 
```

Stack
- Other stack frames
- Return address f0
- Saved frame pointer f0
  - Canary
  - Local variables f0
  - Arguments f1
  - Return address f1
  - Saved frame pointer f1
  - Canary
  - Buffer
  - Pointer
Propolice (PP)

Stack
- Other stack frames
- Return address f0
- Saved frame pointer f0
- Canary
- Local variables f0
- Arguments f1
- Return address f1
- Saved frame pointer f1
- Canary
- Buffer
- Pointer

f0:
... 
call f1
...

f1:
ptr = &data;
    buffer[]
    overflow();
    *ptr = value;
...

data
### Heap protector (HP)

- **Heap protector: Robertson et al.**
- Adds checksum to the chunk information
- Checksum is XORed with a global random value
- On allocation checksum is added
- On free (or other operations) checksum is calculated, XORed, and compared

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chunk1</th>
<th>Size of prev. chunk</th>
<th>Size of chunk1</th>
<th>Checksum</th>
<th>User data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chunk2</td>
<td>Size of chunk1</td>
<td>Size of chunk2</td>
<td>Checksum</td>
<td>Forward pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Backward pointer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Old user data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contrapolice (CP)

- Contrapolice: Krennmair
- Stores a random value before and after the chunk
- Before exiting from a string copy operation, the random value before is compared to the random value after
- If they are not the same, an overflow has occurred
Problems with canaries

- Random value can leak
- For SG: Indirect Pointer Overwriting
- For PP: overflow from one array to the other (e.g. array of char overwrites array of pointer)
- For HP, SG, PP: 1 global random value
- CP: different random number per chunk
- CP: no protection against overflow in loops
Probabilistic countermeasures

- Obfuscation of memory addresses
- Also based on random numbers
- Numbers used to ‘encrypt’ memory locations
- Usually XOR
  - $a \text{ XOR } b = c$
  - $c \text{ XOR } b = a$
Obfuscation of memory addresses

- **PointGuard: Cowan et al.**
  - Protects all pointers by encrypting them (XOR) with a random value
  - Decryption key is stored in a register
  - Pointer is decrypted when loaded into a register
  - Pointer is encrypted when loaded into memory
  - Forces the compiler to do all memory access via registers
  - Can be bypassed if the key or a pointer leaks
  - Randomness can be lowered by using partial overwrite
Partial overwrite

- XOR:
  - \(0x41424344 \text{ XOR } 0x20304050 = 0x61720314\)
  - However, XOR ‘encrypts’ bitwise
    - \(0x44 \text{ XOR } 0x50 = 0x14\)
  - If injected code relatively close:
    - 1 byte: 256 possibilities
    - 2 bytes: 65536 possibilities
Partial overwrite

f0:
  ...
  call f1
  ...

f1:
  ptr = &data;
  buffer[]
  overflow();
  *ptr = value;
  ...

Stack

- Other stack frames
- Return address f0
- Saved frame pointer f0
- Data
- Other Local variables f0
- Arguments f1
- Return address f1
- Saved frame pointer f1
- Encrypted pointer
- Buffer
Partial overwrite

f0:
  ...
  call f1
  ...

f1:
  ptr = &data;
  buffer[]
  overflow();
  *ptr = value;
  ...

Stack

Other stack frames
- Return address f0
- Saved frame pointer f0
- Data
- Other Local variables f0

Arguments f1
- Return address f1
- Saved frame pointer f1
- Encrypted pointer
- Injected code

Inject code
Partial overwrite

f0:
  ...
  call f1
  ...

f1:
  ptr = &data;
  buffer[]
  overflow();
  *ptr = value;
  ...

Stack
  Other stack frames
  Return address f0
  Saved frame pointer f0
  Data
  Other Local variables f0
  Arguments f1
  Modified return address
  Saved frame pointer f1
  Encrypted pointer
  Injected code

IP

FP

SP
Probabilistic countermeasures

- Address space layout randomization: PaX team
  - Compiler must generate PIC
  - Randomizes the base addresses of the stack, heap, code and shared memory segments
  - Makes it harder for an attacker to know where in memory his code is located
  - Can be bypassed if attackers can print out memory addresses: possible to derive base address
- Implemented in Windows Vista / Linux >= 2.6.12
Probabilistic countermeasures

- Randomized instruction sets: Barrantes et al./Kc et al.
  - Encrypts instructions while they are in memory
  - Decrypts them when needed for execution
  - If attackers don’t know the key their code will be decrypted wrongly, causing invalid code execution
  - If attackers can guess the key, the protection can be bypassed
  - High performance overhead in prototypes: should be implemented in hardware
Probabilistic countermeasures

- Rely on keeping memory secret
- Programs that have buffer overflows could also have information leakage
- Example:
  - char buffer[100];
  - strncpy(buffer, input, 100);
  - printf("%s", buffer);
- Strncpy does not NULL terminate (unlike strcpy), printf keeps reading until a NULL is found
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Separation and replication of information

- Replicate valuable control-flow information
  - Copy control-flow information to other memory
  - Copy back or compare before using

- Separate control-flow information from other data
  - Write control-flow information to other places in memory
  - Prevents overflows from overwriting control flow information

- These approaches do not rely on randomness
Separation of information

- **Dnmalloc: Younan et al.**
  - Does not rely on random numbers
  - Protection is added by separating the chunk information from the chunk
  - Chunk information is stored in separate regions protected by guard pages
  - Chunk is linked to its information through a hash table
  - Fast: performance impact vs. dlmalloc: -10% to +5%
  - Used as the default allocator for Samhein (open source IDS)
Dnmalloc

Low addresses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heap Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heap Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heap Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heap Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heap Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High addresses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heap Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heap Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heap Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hashtable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guard page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptr to chunkinfo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptr to chunkinfo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chunkinfo region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guard page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Separation of information

- Dnstack (temporary name): Younan et al.
  - Does not rely on random numbers
  - Separates the stack into multiple stacks, 2 criteria:
    - Risk of data being an attack target (target value)
    - Risk of data being used as an attack vector (source value)
      - Return address: target: High; source: Low
      - Arrays of characters: target: Low; source: High
  - Default: 5 stacks, separated by guard pages
    - Stacks can be reduced by using selective bounds checking: to reduce source risk: ideally 2 stacks
  - Fast: max. performance overhead: 2-3% (usually 0)
“Dnstack”

- Stacks are at a fixed location from each other
- If source risk can be reduced: maybe only 2 stacks
  - Map stack 1,2 onto stack one
  - Map stack 3,4,5 onto stack two
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Paging-based countermeasures

- Non-executable stack: Solar Designer
  - Makes stack segment non-executable
  - Prevents exploits from storing code on the stack
  - Code can still be stored on the heap
  - Can be bypassed using a return-into-libc attack
    - make the return address point to existing function (e.g. system) and use the overflow to put arguments on the stack

- Some programs need an executable stack

- Non-executable stack/heap: PaX team
  - Can be bypassed with return-into-libc
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Hardened libraries

- FormatGuard: Cowan et al.
  - Most format string attacks have more specifiers in the string than arguments
  - Counts the arguments the format string expects and compares them to the number of arguments passed
    - If more: format string -> program is terminated

- Libformat: Robbins
  - Checks format string: if located in writable memory and contains %n -> terminate program

- Visual Studio: removes %n
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Embedded and mobile devices

- Vulnerabilities also present and exploitable on embedded devices
- iPhone LibTIFF vulnerability massively exploited by to unlock phones
- Almost no countermeasures
  - Windows CE6 has stack cookies
- Different priorities: performance is much more important on embedded devices
- Area of future research
Conclusion

➢ Many attacks, countermeasures, counter-countermeasures, etc. exist
➢ Search for good and performant countermeasures to protect C continues
➢ Best solution: switch to a safe language, if possible
➢ More information:
  ➢ Y. Younan. Efficient countermeasures for software vulnerabilities due to memory management errors
  ➢ U. Erlingsson. Low-level Software Security: Attacks and Defenses